



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/862,390	05/21/2001	Andrew D. Padawer	50037.26US1	8902

27488 7590 07/03/2006

MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT)
P.O. BOX 2903
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

PESIN, BORIS M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2174

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/862,390		PADAWER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Boris Pesin		2174	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,6,7,11,12,16,17,21,22,26,27 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,6,7,11,12,16,17,21,22,26,27 and 31-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This communication is responsive to the amendment filed 4/13/2006.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16, 17, 21, 22, 26, 27 and 31-33 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 11, 21 and 31-33 are independent claims. In the amendment filed 10/14/2005, Claims 1, 11, 21 and 31-33 were amended. This action is made Final. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16, 17, 21, 22, 26, 27 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dickman et al. (US 5877765).

In regards to claim 1, Dickman teaches a method for providing shortcuts in a mobile electronic device, the method comprising:

providing a shortcut data store configurable to contain a lookup table that associates tags with different types of targets, wherein the targets comprise applications and content associated with the applications (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52);

monitoring user input to the mobile electronic device from a shortcut application (Column 6, Lines 21-48, since Dickman's invention teaches a general operating system it is inherent that it runs on a laptop computer which is a mobile electronic device);

determining whether the user input is a shortcut input, wherein the shortcut input comprises a shortcut tag, and further wherein the shortcut corresponds to a shortcut target in the lookup table (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52 and Column 6, Lines 21-48);

if the user input is a shortcut input, locating the shortcut target in the lookup table based on the shortcut tag (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52 and Column 6, Lines 21-48);

when the located shortcut target is an application, executing the application (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52 and Column 6, Lines 21-48, i.e. internet browser); and

when the located shortcut target is content associated with an application, execution the application to access the content (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52 and Column 6, Lines 21-48, i.e. opens the internet browser to a specific web page).

In regards to claim 2, Dickman teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Dickman further teaches a method wherein the types of targets include at least one selected from a group comprising: telephone numbers, email address, uniform resource locator (URL), and contact cards (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52).

In regards to claim 6, Dickman teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Dickman further teaches a method wherein the shortcut input comprises more than one type (See

Art Unit: 2174

Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52, the user can either double click to trigger the action or just press enter).

In regards to claim 7, Dickman teaches all the limitations of claim 6. Dickman further teaches a method wherein the types of shortcut input include at least one selected from a group comprising: a speed dial input, a voice input, a menu item selection input, and an icon selection input (See Figure 4, Elements 56 and 52, the user can either double click to trigger the action or just press enter).

Claims 11, 12, 16, and 17 are similar in scope to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 21, 22, 26, and 27 are similar in scope to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 31-33 are similar in scope to claim 1 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16, 17, 21, 22, 26, 27 and 31-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Pesin whose telephone number is (571) 272-4070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday except every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BP

Kristine Kincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100